



3622
JFC

MS Amendment
Attorney Docket No. 25408

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re Application of:

TAFLA

Serial No.: 09/825,439

Art Unit: 3622

Filed: April 2, 2001

Examiner: J. Carlson

For: **METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PRESENTING AN ANIMATED ADVERTISEMENT
ON A WEB PAGE**

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith for filing in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is the following:

- (1) Transmittal Letter; and
- (2) Response to Restriction Requirement.


If an Extension of Time under 37 CFR § 1.136 is required and has not been separately requested herein, please consider this Transmittal Letter as including a request for such Extension of Time and as a further authorization to charge any fee for such Extension of Time, as may be required by 37 CFR § 1.17, to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Please charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, in connection with this matter to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted,
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

Date: October 12, 2004
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC
1030 15th Street N.W.,
6th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 775-8383

By:


Gary M. Nath
Registration No. 26,965
Gregory B. Kang
Registration No. 45,273
Derek Richmond
Registration No. 45,771
Customer No. 20259



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

TAFLA

Serial No.: 09/825,439

Art Unit: 3622

Filed: April 2, 2001

Examiner: J. Carlson

For: **METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PRESENTING AN ANIMATED ADVERTISEMENT
ON A WEB PAGE**

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action having a mailing date of September 13, 2004. The one month shortened statutory period to respond was set to expire October 13, 2004.

In view of the following election and remarks, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner conduct a first substantive examination on the merits.

REMARKS

Claims 1 – 66 are pending in the application and are presented for a first substantive examination on the merits.

In the outstanding Office Action, claims 1 – 66 were subjected to a restriction requirement.

By this Response to Restriction Requirement, an election with traverse, is made.